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#### Abstract

Brucellosis is among the fast-spreading disease on Earth causing casualties in livestock as well as in humans. It's an alarming situation to kick off the study of Brucellosis causing agent. Brucellosis is an infective sickness caused by Brucella abortus. Here, we have carried out the Insilco analysis of Brucella abortus strain 2308. This strain is mainly responsible for the disease. Here, we have tried to study the $B$. abortus strain 2308 by the means of bioinformatics methodology. We have run several Insilco tools to predict its structure and function. Moreover, we have carried out the methodology of protein homology modelling on this strain. Furthermore, we have also carried out several protein chain analyses, protein-protein interface, structure alignment, structure prediction and active site prediction. To make our study more productive we have also performed drug docking. These results will add on a little info in the emerging bioinformatics data regarding Brucella abortus.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Miscarriage is the leading cause of brucellosis in cattle, leading to miscarriage and infertility in adult animals. Brucella S.P.P. Gram-negative, phospholytic intracellular bacteria. The genus Brucella is mostly involved in mammalian pathogens. Brucellosis is a major infective sickness disorder in humans and animals. Brucella species cause zoonotic disease brucellosis. Brussels sprouts can cause miscarriage in cattle, sheep, goats, pigs and dogs. Furthermore, it has been found that there is evidence in humans that brucellosis can cause spontaneous abortion (Khan, M. Y., Mahi et al. 2001). In cattle, miscarriage occurs at 6-8 months of gestation and in cattle the hygroma of the bull or the organs or reproductive tract of the bull is infected. The disease is
transmitted from animal to animal in a variety of ways, including exposure to infected embryos (Samartino, L. E., \& Enrite et 1993). Human brucellosis is an occupational disease of many veterinarians, butchers and workers. Infection usually occurs in the case of conception or maintenance of the fetal membrane, contact with feces, carcasses and vaginal discharge of infected animals. Bacteria can enter through conjunctivitis and skin contact (Hajibemani, A., and Shekhali Islami et al. 2020). The disease is usually asymptomatic in young animals and non-pregnant women. Even in the absence of abortion, mass excretion of the organism into the placenta, fetal fluid, and vaginal discharge occurs. The mammary gland and associated lymph nodes are also infected, and the organism can excrete in milk (Priyanka, S.B., and S.K., K. et.al 2019). It infects 500,000 people worldwide each year. After entering either in cattels or in humans or in any of its mammal's host, the bacteria invade the bloodstream and lymph, where they divide within phagocytic cells and results in causing septicaemia in blood. Indications of sudden pyrexia, miscarriage (in cattle's), loss of strength, encephalitis and endocarditis. Brucella does not contain bacterial viral agents such as cytolysin, exotoxins, capsules, secretory proteins, fibria, phase-encoded toxins and viral plasmids. Broccoli infects non-phagocytic epithelial cells (e.g., hela cells) and phagocytic macrophages in vivo and in vitro. The vacuole of brucellosis paras macroscopes depends on their power tolive andcopy itself again and again in phagocytic spaces. Specifically, the brucellosis life cycle consists of two stages: (i) long infective transmission of phagocytic macrophages leading to brucellosis endurance and replication, and (ii) Acute infective transmission of nonphagocytic epithelial cells, extending toprocreative tract pathology and heading to miscarriage. The liverand spleen are organs that comprise many bacterial cells later thebrucellosis encroachment. After a large number of Brucella cells are wiped out in Vivo, the remaining Brucella cells survive and remain in Vivo longer (he, y 2012). Intracellular replicas in Brucella phagocytes.

Systemic infection occurs after invasion of the lymphatic vessels, colonization of the uterus, male genitals and mammary gland. Miscarriage in the last trimester of pregnancy causes strong irritation in the uterus, which is conceived to be because of the high densities of steroid hormones and erythritol. In 1954, congressional funding was first approved to eradicate the disease from the country for the Cooperative State - Federal Brucellosis Aidification Program. As with other animal illness obliteration attempts, the success of the events counts on the support and involvement of livestock producers. The initial procedure is to inoculate the calves, test the cattle and test the domestic buffaloes for infection and slaughter the infected animals. Herd stores, if reserves are accessible, can be utilized if crowds are seriously influenced. Recognizable proof of creatures on the lookout, observing for recognition of contaminated creatures, testing of influenced crowds, and immunization of calves restored in brucellosis influenced regions are significant highlights of the current program. The uniform techniques and rules of the program set the base principles for states to accomplish disposal. Under the Active Surveillance Program (Ragan, VE (2002), states are assigned sans brucellosis when their cows or buffalo are not discovered to be contaminated for 12 back to back months. Milk from dairy crowds is tried two to multiple times by testing a little example acquired. Milk and homegrown buffalo groups don't create milk available to be purchased. Make certain to test for brucellosis. For certain exemptions, group tests should incorporate steers and bison more seasoned than a half-year-old enough to test for the illness. Offer to Rani. d test. In the event that brucellosis is found in a positive creature reconnaissance test, the remainder of the group will be tried for domesticated animals and buffalo (Ragan, VE (2002). Luckily, there has been a blend of progress in milk sanitization and elimination. Cases, a portion of the 6,400 cases were accounted for in 1947. As of late, roughly 100 instances of brucellosis in people have been accounted for yearly. Enters for Disease Control and Prevention (Carbel, MJ (2006). Products of brucellosis-induced ab in general. The fetus, placenta and cervix secrete a large number of organisms. Transmission is usually caused by bursal-induced miscarriage (fetal, placental, uterine discharge) or ingestion of contaminated material by these products. Brucella may be present in cervical discharge starting 2 weeks earlier. The incubation period is varying, drifting from 2 weeks to 1 year or more. The minimum incubation period from infection to miscarriage is approximately 30 days (YAEGER, M.J. and HOLLER, L.D., 2007). Bowin Bacteria induces miscarriage and infertility. Current Medicine in Large Veterinary Science. There is no powerful method to recognize contaminated creatures by their reality. The most evident sign in pregnant creatures is a premature delivery or the introduction of a neglected calf. Changes in the ordinary lactation time frame because of unnatural birth cycle and deferred origination lessen milk creation. Not all
contaminated cows cut short, yet the individuals who become pregnant typically get pregnant in the fifth and seventh months. Contaminated cows for the most part lose once, however one percent of unsuccessful labours happen during extra pregnancies, and later calves may get feeble and undesirable. Tainted cow calves may have an inactive contamination, for example diseases that are not identified until they gotten pregnant, cut short or conceive an offspring. In spite of the fact that their calves seem, by all accounts, to be sound, contaminated cows irritate and discharge tainted life forms and ought to be viewed as a hazardous wellspring of sickness. Different indications of brucellosis incorporate essentially diminished fruitfulness with a helpless pregnancy rate, bringing about cervical disease, and (once in a while) extended, ligament joints. The incubation period is the time frame between the openness of the life form to contamination and the main appearance of infection manifestations. The incubation time of brucellosis in cows, buffalo, and different creatures is roughly fourteen days to 1 year and sometimes more. At the point when a premature delivery is the main sign, the base brooding time frame is typically 30 days. A few creatures become cut short prior to building up a positive reaction to the analytic test. Other tainted creatures can never be cut off. All in all, contaminated creatures that don't build up a positive reaction to the clinical preliminary inside 30 to 60 days of incubation may not build up a positive reaction for a while to over a year (Rachel, MP, Wahl, LC and Hill, FI, 2018).

Brucella abortus strain 2308 was actually reported in 1940 as the most severe strain of a cow's fetus recovered from embryo, as demonstrated by B. Chan et al. (2005), the other B. indicator was found in chromosome synthesis with the abortus gene, and there are some differences. In 2009, WGS analysis results from a species known as B. abortion 2308A were traditionally obtained under entry number GCA_000182625.1. No additional information is given about the isolates used in these two WGS projects (Suarez-Esquivel, M., RuizVillalobos et.al. 2016).

The genus Brucella is generally mammalian infection inducing microorganisms that, because of their low irresistible properties, group aerosol transmission and treatment challenges as potential bioterrorism specialists. Brucellosis is a significant irresistible infection in people and creatures. Numerous types of Brucella (B. bortus, B. melitensis, and B. usis) are segregated from a wide assortment of creatures. Every one of the three brucellosis species causes genuine human sickness, which happens in the intense stage and makes harm to different organs. Brucellosis is a significant issue in the Mediterranean locale and parts of Asia, Africa, and Latin America. At the point when the disease is restricted to the mind or heart, it can prompt dangerous meningitis or harmful endocarditis, individually. Brucella abortus (strain 2308) is uncommon in people, cows, and some other homegrown creatures. It has 2 chromosomes in its genes.

Regardless of the high insurance of the hereditary spine shared by the three Brucella species, there are a few significant animal groups explicit contrasts. A sum of 207 pseudo genes was recognized in B. abortus (Strain 2308). Sixteen pseudo genes are basic in every one of the three animal groups, 9 of which began from a solitary occasion. Despite the fact that brucellosis contains huge flagellar qualities, they show species-explicit hereditary dormancy and thus, they are fixed. Dormant genes comprise of single-point mutation or little deletion that are fundamental for the capacity or assembly of the flagellum or the major primary proteins of this organ. Every one of the three brucellosis species have a total and useful sort IV disturbance of the secretory framework.

Part of the administrative phosphorylate framework that directs the development, division, and intracellular endurance of the abortus cell inside mammalian host cells. This flagging way comprises of CckA, ChpT, CtrA and CpdR. ChpT successfully and solely contains phosphoryl bunches from the CTP kinase to the collector area of both CTRA and CPDR. Doesn't tie to ATP. The extreme portrayal of chips because of an imperfection in cell morphology, DNA content, and intracellular endurance in human guardians.

## About the drugs we have used

Doxycycline(4,5,5,6,12)-4-(dimethylamino)-
3,5,10,12,12-pentahydroxy-6-methyl-1,11-dioxo-
1,4,4a,5,5a,6,11,12a-octahydrotetracene-2-carboxamide) is a drug utilized for the treatment of diseases caused by bacteria and protozoa. Doxycycline is contraindicated during pregnancy, breastfeeding, baby and childhood stages in human's because it crosses into breastmilk and disturbs bone and tooth development in these stages upto the age of eight years. Medications are noticing protection from microorganisms because of long use. We are docking the doxycycline with to check on the off chance that they will have better activity and presumably show no protection from microorganisms (Ikpeazu, O. V., Otuokere, I. E., \& Igwe, K. K. 2017).

Aminoglycosides are the best-characterized class of antibiotics that bind directly to ribosomal RNA. Aminoglycosides cause decreases in translational accuracy and inhibit translocation of the ribosome. Aminoglycoside antibiotics bind to a conserved sequence of rRNA that is near the site of codon-anticodon recognition in the aminoacyl-tRNA site (A site) of 30 S subunits. Aminoglycoside binding stabilizes the tRNA-mRNA interaction in the A site by decreasing tRNA dissociation rates, which interferes with proofreading steps that ensure translational fidelity. Besides their medical importance, aminoglycoside antibiotics have provided insights into ribosome function (Yoshizawa, S., Fourmy et.al 1998)

In this study, we mainly focused on protein patterns and interactions, also carried out several protein chains analyses, protein-protein interface, structure alignment, structure prediction and active site prediction
and a little info in the emerging bioinformatics data regarding Brucella abortus

## II. METHODOLOGY

## 1. Selection of query protein.

As we have explained above that we have decided to work on $B$. abourtus. The query protein is $B$. abortus strain 2308. This protein is responsible for abortion in Cattel's. For acquiring this protein, we have used Uniprotkb. Uniprotekb is a protein database.

## 2. Local alignment search.

We are running Blast. Blast is a local alignment search tool. It detects parts that resemblance between biological sequences. This is an online based platform equates protein sequences to sequence databases and calculates the statistical significance of matches.
For our work we have used Blastp. Blastp is a specific blast type that is performed when are handling a protein sequence and we have to search it against protein database.

## 3. Secondary Structure prediction.

Secondary structure concerns to the fixture, recurring arrangements in space of neighbouring amino acid residues in a polypeptide chain. It is preserved by hydrogen bonds between amide hydrogens and carbonyl oxygens of the peptide backbone. The major secondary structures are $\alpha$-helices and $\beta$-structures.

GOR (Garnier-Osguthorpe-Robson) is one of the popular Secondary structure prediction tool utilizing information theory. GOR method is established on probability parameters deduced from empirical studies of known protein tertiary structures solved by X-ray crystallography.

## 4. Homology-modelling.

A protein is known by its structure. Is its structure that describe its function and its creates various levels of interaction limits. We have tried to create the protein model on the basis of homology modelling. The homologs structure is the relationship between structures or genetic sequence gained from the most recent common ancestor.

Here, homologs modelling is carried out by the application of SWISS-MODEL. SWISS-MODEL is a fully fashioned protein structure homology-modelling server.

## 5. Running PDBsum

PDBsum database is a pictorial database which focuses on furnishing at-a-glance summary of the subject matter of each 3D structure situated in the Protein Data Bank (PDB).It demonstrates the molecule(s) that constitute the structure and schematic diagrams of their interactions.

## a) PROCHECK analyses.

The PROCHECK analyses furnish a thought of the stereochemical quality of all protein chains in a given PDB structure. The highlighted parts of the proteins which appear to have strange geometry and furnish an overall assessment of the structure as a whole.
b) PROTEIN CHAIN
c) WIRING DIAGRAM
d) TOPOLOGY DIAGRAM
e) PROMOTIF
6) Alignment of 4qpk with 4qpj

Protein alignment is carried out Because protein structure is more evolutionarily conserved than sequence, structural alignments can be more authentic between sequences that are very longitudinally related and that have diverged very widely that sequence equivalence cannot authenticdiscover their resemblance.

So, we have aligned out two protein sequence that are 4qpk (from SWISS-model) and the 4qpj (from Blastp) by using Pymole.

## 7) Finding Active Site.

The active site is the area of a functional protein where substrate molecules bind and perform a chemical reaction.

The active site of the modelled protein has been located by using Pymole.

## 8) Retrieval and preparation of Ligands molecules for docking with proteins:

The structures of drugs (ligands) including doxycycline and gentamicin were obtained from the PubChem database (https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) and drawn in ChemDraw Ultra 12.0. The ligand structures were transformed into most stable conformations utilizing the Vega ZZ program using the method of conjugate gradient and SP4 force field. The final ligand preparation was done using AutoDock Tools 1.5.6 and saved in pdbqt format.

## 9) Retrieval and preparation of Proteins for docking with ligands:

The 3D structure of protein including (PDB ID: $4 \mathrm{QPK} \& 4 \mathrm{QPJ}$ ) were obtained from protein data bank (http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/home/home.do) and saved in PDB format. The active sites of respective proteins were determined using Discovery Studio Visualizer 4.0 program. The protein was prepared using AutoDock Tools 1.5 .6 by removing water molecules, adding polar hydrogen, and Kollman charges. The grid box was placed in the center of protein structure using the auto grid method and the $x, y, z$ coordinates were saved, and the protein structure was exported in pdbqt format.

## 10) Docking Experiment:

The final docking of the ligands and proteins was performed by utilizing AutoDock Vina 1.0 at an exhaustiveness of 80.The docking results were simulated and visualized in Discovery Studio Visualizer 4.0 and Pymol 1.8.6.0.

## III. RESULTS

## 1) Selection of query protein.

The query protein amino acid sequence are as follows: >sp|Q2YQA5|CHPT_BRUA2 Protein phosphotransferase ChpT OS=Brucella abortus (strain 2308) OX=359391 $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{chp} \mathrm{T}$ PE=1 SV=1
MSLPVTLSALDLGALLCSRICHDIISPVGAINNGLEL LEEGGADEDAMALIKSSARNASARLQFARIAFGAA GSAGVQIDTGDAQNVATEYFRNEKPEFTWEGARV LLPKNKVKLLLNMLLIGNGAIPRGGSLAVRLEGSD TDPRFVITVKGRMLRVPPKFLELHSGAAPEEPIDAH SVQPYYTLLLAEEAGMKISIHATAEDIVFSAE

## 2) Local alignment search.

Table: -1.1

| Search Parameters |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program | Blastp |
| Word size | 6 |
| Expect value | 0.05 |
| Hitlist size | 100 |
| Gapcosts | 11,1 |
| Matrix | BLOSUM62 |
| Filter string | F |
| Genetic Code | 1 |
| Window Size | 40 |
| Threshold | 21 |
| Composition-based stats | 2 |

Table: -1.2

| Database | Oct 8,2020 3:33 AM |
| :--- | :--- |
| Posted date | $31,533,171$ |
| Number of letters | 119,997 |
| Number of sequences | None |
| Entrez query |  |
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Table: -1.3

| Karlin-Altschul statistics |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lambda | 0.317689 | 0.267 |
| K | 0.135153 | 0.041 |
| H | 0.383751 | 0.14 |
| Alpha | 0.7916 | 1.9 |
| Alpha_v | 4.96466 | 42.6028 |
| Sigma |  | 43.6362 |

Table: -1.4 Blastp results(against pdb database)

| S.no | Select downloading viewing reports | for or | Description | Max Score | Total Score | Query Cover | Evalue | Per. Ident | Accession |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1) | Select pdb $\|4 \mathrm{QPJ}\| \mathrm{A}$ | seq | Chain A, <br> Phosphotransferase <br> [Brucella abortus <br> $2308]$ | 424 | 424 | 100\% | $5 \mathrm{e}-153$ | 99.52\% | 4QPJ_A |
| 2) | Select pdb\|4FMT|A | seq | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chain A, ChpT } \\ & \text { protein } \\ & \text { [Caulobacter } \\ & \text { vibrioides CB15] } \end{aligned}$ | 99.4 | 99.4 | 89\% | 2e-25 | 36.27\% | 4FMT_A |
| 3) | Select pdb\|4FPP|A | seq | Chain A, <br> Phosphotransferase <br> Caulobacter <br> vibrioides CB15] | 99.4 | 99.4 | 89\% | $4 \mathrm{e}-25$ | 36.27\% | 4FPP A |

3) Secondary structure prediction.


Fig: -1.1 alpha helixes and beta sheets arrangements shows by graph.
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## GOR Algorithm <br> Protein name:

Ia Ib It Ic St
$\begin{array}{llllll}1 \mathrm{M} & -78 & 65^{*} & -15 & -10 & \mathrm{E}\end{array}$
2 S $-118 \quad 35 \quad 5 \quad 50 *$ C
3 L -174 10-227 147* C
4 P -96 -49 -45 23* C
$5 \mathrm{~V}-71 \mathbf{- 8}^{*}-111 \quad-25 \mathrm{E}$
$6 \mathrm{~T}-65-12-117-5 *$ C
$7 \mathrm{~L}-55 \quad 2^{*}-106-10 \mathrm{E}$
$8 \mathrm{~S}-40$ 1* -38 -12 E
9 A -22 33* $-35-10$ E
$10 \mathrm{~L}-46^{*}$ * -7300 E
$11 \mathrm{D}-1 \mathrm{l}^{*} *-25-17 \mathrm{E}$
$12 \mathrm{~L} \quad 2-99 \quad 41^{*}-35 \mathrm{~T}$
13 G -47-111 77* -17 T
14 A 37* -42 -60 -10 H
$\begin{array}{llllll}15 \mathrm{~L} & 72 * & 57 & -83 & -75 & \mathrm{H}\end{array}$
16 L 74 83* $-15-122 \mathrm{E}$
17 C 63* 45 62 -137 H
18 S 47 50* -18 -130 E
$19 \mathrm{R} 26^{*} * 7-105 \mathrm{E}$
$20 \mathrm{I}-27$ 99* -10 -67 E
$21 \mathrm{C}-65$ 102* 5 -17 E
22 H -104 83* -70 25 E
$23 \mathrm{D}-135$ 74* -4530 E
24 I -140 $55^{*}$-55 28 E
25 I -166 65 -72 $66^{*}$ C
26 S -207 58 -269 180* C
$27 \mathrm{P}-141 \quad 39-99 \quad 60 *$ C
28 V -141 57* -49 25 E
29 G $-150 \quad 25-22 \quad 50 *$ C
$30 \mathrm{~A}-100 \quad 3-85 \quad 55^{*} \mathrm{C}$
31 I $-95-48-10048^{*}$ C
32 N -97-105 27 43* C
$33 \mathrm{~N}-79-190 \quad 127 * 45 \mathrm{~T}$
34 G $-50-206$ 87* 50 T
35 L 30*-118 $-23 \quad 25 \mathrm{H}$
36 E $95 *-19-10 ~-77 ~ H ~$
37 L 140* $-2 \begin{array}{lllll} & -88 & -130 & \mathrm{H}\end{array}$
38 L 155* $-19-135-147$ H
39 E 150* -65 -40 -147 H
40 E 114*-156 90 -125 H
41 G $50-248$ 151* - 45 T
$42 \mathrm{G} \quad 52-275 \quad 46 \quad 60^{*} \mathrm{C}$
43 A 142*-258 $-78 \quad 73$ H
44 D 254*-294 $-74 \quad 15$ H
45 E 284* $-302-23$-55 H
46 D 322*-205 $-24-100$ H
47 A 341*-178 $-96-147$ H
48 M 315* -71 -162 -147 H
49 A 287* $0-173-145$ H
50 L 248* 18 -210 -155 H
51 I 197* -5 -190 -124 H
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107 L $150 *-27-133-85 \quad \mathrm{H}$
108 L 80* -92 -256 45 H
109 P 95*-238 35 -29 H
$110 \mathrm{~K} \quad 79-236$ 101* -25 T
$111 \mathrm{~N} \quad 46-250 \quad 70^{*} \quad 5 \mathrm{~T}$
$112 \mathrm{~K} \quad 68^{*}-219 \quad 17$-5 H
113 V 75*-122 -42 -45 H
114 K 88* $-51 \quad-33 \quad-72 \mathrm{H}$
115 L 93* $-9 \quad-74-113 \mathrm{H}$
116 L 97* 7 -80 -118 H
117 L 95* $63-60-132 \mathrm{H}$
118 N 81* 74 -61 -141 H
119 M 75 77*-103 -130 E
120 L 55 151*-126-107 E
121 L 13 150*-142 -99 E
122 I -40 103* -85 -59 E
123 G $-132-11-10 \quad 8 *$ C
124 N -177 $-66 \quad 5485 *$ C
125 G -192-122 53 105* C
126 A -163 -27 -70 130* C
127 I -196 47 -359 175* C
128 P -130 11 -133 $38^{*}$ C
$\begin{array}{llllll}129 \mathrm{R} & -136 & -6 & 39 * & 20 & \mathrm{~T}\end{array}$
130 G-170 -73 132* 55 T
131 G -148 -76 68 100* C
$132 \mathrm{~S}-92 \quad 8 \quad-23 \quad 75^{*} \mathrm{C}$
133 L -45 55*-107 -25 E
134 A 6 128* -83 -97 E
135 V 31 187*-170-140 E
136 R 20 188*-118 -115 E
$137 \mathrm{~L}-30$ 114* -68 -80 E
$\begin{array}{llllll}138 \mathrm{E} & -90 & 17 & 125^{*} & -42 & \mathrm{~T}\end{array}$
139 G -167 -65 185* 35 T
140 S -180 -117 102 130* C
141 D -173 -144 75 130* C
$142 \mathrm{~T}-154-20138$ 155* C
143 D -131 -160 -154 202* C
144 P
145 R 12 14* -13 -35 E
146 F 35 124* -95 -80 E
147 V 18 203*-223-112 E
148 I 8 167*-240 -92 E
$149 \mathrm{~T} 0 \mathrm{75}^{*}-172$-92 E
$150 \mathrm{~V}-35 \quad 22^{*}-120 \quad-95 \mathrm{E}$
$151 \mathrm{~K}-59-62 \quad 22^{*}-58$ T
152 G -39 -95 $-20^{*}-25$ T
153 R $-29^{*}-53-85-32$ H
154 M -29 -13*-102 -63 E
$155 \mathrm{~L}-44-4 *-90-67 \mathrm{E}$
$156 \mathrm{R}-97 \quad-52 \quad 0^{*}-22 \mathrm{~T}$
157 V -138 - $130-209115^{*} \mathrm{C}$
158 P - $133-281-127150 *$ C
159 P $-45-313116^{*} 50 \quad$ T
160 K -12 -206 104* -8 T
161 F 38* $-64 \quad-45 \quad-65$ H

| 162 L |  | 46 | -153 | -87 | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 163 E | 77* | 68 | -32- | -122 | H |
| 164 L |  | 11 | -32-1 | -122 | H |
| 165 H |  | -23 | -40-1 | -110 | H |
| 166 S | -40 | -130 |  | -42 | T |
| 167 G |  | -237 | 175* | * 18 |  |
| 168 A | -70 | -270 | 93 | 110* | C |
| 169 A | -61 | -251 | -169 | 182* | * |
| 170 P | 40 | -239 | 40 | 58* | C |
| 171 E |  | -195 |  | 45 | T |
| 172 E |  | 100 | -132 | 117* | C |
| 173 P |  | -46 | -67 | -25 | H |
| 174 I | 41* | 5 -1 | 169 | -37 |  |
| 175 D | 4* | -32 | -82 | -52 | H |
| 176 A | 8* | -77 | -40 | -50 | H |
| 177 H | -15 | -25 | 35* | -7 | T |
| 178 S | -37 |  | 100* | 26 |  |
| 179 V | -67 | -25 | 60* | 38 |  |
| 180 Q | -172 | -75 | -139 | 172* | * |
| 181 P | -141 | -98 | 30 | 75* |  |
| 182 Y | -136 | -57 | 16 | 70* |  |
| 183 Y | -127 | 14 | -40 | 40* |  |
| 184 T | -77 | 85* | -50 | 5 |  |
| 185 L | 10 | 87* | -60 | -55 | E |
| 186 L | 103* | + 53 | -63 | -92 | H |
| 187 L | 181* | -65 | -112 | -104 | 4 |
| 188 A |  | -145 | -107 | -122 |  |
| 189 E | 272* | -232 | -64 | -150 |  |
| 190 E | 318* | -240 | -15 | -187 |  |
| 191 A | 296* | - 238 | - 27 | -180 |  |
| 192 G |  | -165 | -35 | -175 |  |
| 193 M | 214* | *-57 | -93 | -110 |  |
| 194 K | 188* | 27 | -150 | -133 |  |
| 195 I | 174* | 58 | -190 | -117 | H |
| 196 S | 155* | 37 | -144 | -91 | H |
| 197 I | 138* | -22 | -170 |  | H |
| 198 H |  | -120 | -139 | -79 | H |
| 199 A |  | -141 | -78 | -58 | H |
| 200 T |  | -167 | -61 | -12 | H |
| 201 A |  | -160 | -55 | -17 | H |
| 202 E |  | -102 | -49 |  | H |
| 203 D | 135* | - 94 | -124 | -80 | H |
| 204 I | 147* | -60 | -238 | -112 | H |
| 205 V | 148* | - 12 | -210 | -147 |  |
| 206 F | 136* | 33 | -128 | -115 | H |
| 207 S | 133* | -28 | -102 | -80 | H |
| 208 A |  | -107 | -35 | -70 | H |
| 209 E | 138* | -135 | 25 | -77 | H |

## 4) Homology-modelling.

5 model and 48 templates were found the selected model results are listed below: -

## Models

The following models were built (see Materials and Methods "Model Building"):

Table: -2.3

| Model \#01 | File | Built with | Oligo-State | Ligands | GMQE | QMEAN |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Qun <br> and | $\underline{\text { PDB }}$ | ProMod3 3.1.1 | homo-dimer (matching prediction) | None | 0.94 | 0.45 |



Fig: -1.2


Fig: - 1.3


Fig: -1.4

Table: -1.5

| Templat <br> e | Seq Identit y | Oligo -state | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QSQ } \\ & \text { E } \end{aligned}$ | Found by | Metho <br> d | Resolutio <br> n | Seq Similarit y | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rang } \\ & \text { e } \end{aligned}$ | Coverag <br> e | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4qpk.1.A | 99.52 | homodimer | 0.86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BLAS } \\ & \mathrm{T} \end{aligned}$ | X-ray | $1.66 \AA$ | 0.60 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 209 \end{aligned}$ | 1.00 | PHOSPHOTRANSFERAS E |

Table: -2.5; Excluded ligands

| Ligand Name.Number | Reason for Exclusion | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GOL. 1 | Not biologically relevant. | GLYCEROL |
| GOL. 2 | Not biologically relevant. | GLYCEROL |
| GOL.4 | Not biologically relevant. | GLYCEROL |
| NA.3 | Not biologically relevant. | SODIUM ION |
| PO4.5 | Not biologically relevant. | PHOSPHATE ION |

Target
MSLPVTLSALDLGALLCSRICHDIISPVGAINNGLELLEEGGADEDAMALIKSSARNASARLQFARIAFGAAGSAG VQID
4qpk.1.A
MSLPVTLSALDLGALLCSRICHDIISPIGAINNGLELLEEGGADEDAMALIKSSARNASARLQFARIAFGAAGSAGV QID

Target
TGDAQNVATEYFRNEKPEFTWEGARVLLPKNKVKLLLNMLLIGNGAIPRGGSLAVRLEGSDTDPRFVITVKGRM LRVPPK
4qpk.1.A
TGDAQNVATEYFRNEKPEFTWEGARVLLPKNKVKLLLNMLLIGNGAIPRGGSLAVRLEGSDTDPRFVITVKGRM LRVPPK

Target FLELHSGAAPEEPIDAHSVQPYYTLLLAEEAGMKISIHATAEDIVFSAE 4qpk.1.A FLELHSGAAPEEPIDAHSVQPYYTLLLAEEAGMKISIHATAEDIVFSAE

Target
MSLPVTLSALDLGALLCSRICHDIISPVGAINNGLELLEEGGADEDAMALIKSSARNASARLQFARIAFGAAGSAG
VQID
4qpk.1.B
MSLPVTLSALDLGALLCSRICHDIISPIGAINNGLELLEEGGADEDAMALIKSSARNASARLQFARIAFGAAGSAGV QID

Target
TGDAQNVATEYFRNEKPEFTWEGARVLLPKNKVKLLLNMLLIGNGAIPRGGSLAVRLEGSDTDPRFVITVKGRM LRVPPK
4qpk.1.B
TGDAQNVATEYFRNEKPEFTWEGARVLLPKNKVKLLLNMLLIGNGAIPRGGSLAVRLEGSDTDPRFVITVKGRM LRVPPK

Target
FLELHSGAAPEEPIDAHSVQPYYTLLLAEEAGMKISIHATAEDIVFSAE 4qpk.1.B FLELHSGAAPEEPIDAHSVQPYYTLLLAEEAGMKISIHATAEDIVFSAE

## 5) Running PDBsum

The colouring/shading on the plot constitutes the different regions (Morris et al. (1992)). The darkest areas
(here shown in red) correspond to the "core" regions representing the most favourable combinations of phi-psi values.
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Ramachandran plot regions; The different regions on the Ramachandran plot are as described in Morris et al. (1992).

The regions are labelled as follows:

| A - Core alpha | L - Core left-handed alpha |
| :--- | :--- |
| a - Allowed alpha | 1 - Allowed left-handed alpha |
| ~a - Generous alpha | $\sim 1$ - Generous left-handed |
| alpha |  |
| B - Core beta | p - Allowed epsilon |
| b - Allowed beta | $\sim$ p - Generous epsilon |
| $\sim b-$ Generous beta |  |

The different area was brought from the detected phi-psi distribution for 121,870 residues from 463 known

X-ray protein structures. The two most preferred area are the "core" and "allowed" regions which correspond to $10^{\circ}$ $\times 10^{\circ}$ pixels having more than 100 and 8 residues in them, respectively. The "generous" regions were defined by Morris et al. (1992) by extending out by $20^{\circ}$ (two pixels) all round the "allowed" regions. In fact, the authors found very few residues in these "generous" regions, so they can probably be treated much like the "disallowed" region and any residues in them investigated more closely.

The Selected protein for procheck analyseis 4QPK

4qpk


Fig: -1.6


| End-residues (excl. Gly and Pro) | 5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Glycine residues | 35 |
| Proline residues | 22 |
|  |  |
| Total number of residues | 412 |

Based on an analysis of $\mathbf{1 1 8}$ structures of resolution of at least 2.0 Angstroms and $R$-factor no greater than $\mathbf{2 0 . 0}$ a good quality model would be expected to have over $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ in the most favoured regions [A,B,L].

the-ordinary, a property is.
Values below -0.5* - unusual



Fig: -1.8; GOL 301(A)
(also representing equivalent ligand GOL 302(B) )
2) GOL 302(A)

Ligand GOL - Glycerol
[Glycerin; propane-1,2,3-Triol]
Formula: C3H83O3
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Fig: -1.9;GOL 302(A)
3) $G O L 302(B)$
4) $\mathrm{PO} 4303(\mathrm{~A})$

Ligand PO4 - Phosphate ion Formula: O4P3


Fig: -2.0


Fig: -2.1;PO4 303(B); Too small to validate

## Protein Chain

The 'wiring chart' shows the protein's secondary structure components ( $\alpha$-helices and $\beta$-sheets) along with different primary motifs, for example, $\beta$-and $\gamma$-turns, and $\beta$-hairpins. The yellow connecting bars named 1 and 2 address disulphide bonds. The single-letter amino corrosive codes indicating the protein's succession are shaded red or blue contingent upon whether they have a
place with CATH underlying area 1 or 2 , individually. The catalytic residues are demonstrated by a box encompassing the amino corrosive code. Red spots over the single-letter codes mean residues that interface with any bound ligand(s) while shaded lines under address residues having a place with a PROSITE design, the redder the shading the more profoundly moderated the residues in the given pattern.


Key:
Sec. struc:
Helices labelled $\mathrm{H} 1, \mathrm{H} 2, \ldots$ and strands by their sheets $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \ldots$
Helix Strand
Motifs: $\beta$ beta turn $\Longrightarrow$ beta hairpin
Residue contacts: "to ligand

Fig: -2.2 wiring diagram

Topology chart of the first (for example red) domain area in 4 QPK . The chart represents how the $\beta$-strands, comprised by the enormous arrows, join up, one next to the other, to calculate the space's focal $\beta$-sheet. The chart likewise exhibits the overall areas of the $\alpha$-helices, here
introduced by the red chambers. The little arrows show the directionality of the protein chain, from the N -to the C-end. The numbers inside the secondary structural components related to the residue numbering given in the PDB record.


Fig: -2.3; Topology diagram

## Promotif

| Secondary |
| :--- |
| Table: -1.5 |


| structure |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strand | Alpha helix | 3-10 helix | Other | Total residues |
| $\mathbf{3 5 ( 1 7 . 1 \% )}$ | $107(52.2 \%)$ | $0(0.0 \%)$ | $63(30.7 \%)$ | 205 |

Table: -1.6; 2 beta sheets

| Sheet | No. <br> strands | Type | Barrel | Topology |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 2 | Antiparallel | N | 1 |
| B | 5 | Mixed | N | 1 X 1 2X -1 |

Table: -1.7;2 beta hairpins

| Strand 1 | Strand 2 |  | Hairpin |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Start | End | Length | Start | End | Length | class |  |
| Ser132 | Gly139 | 8 |  | Pro144 | Lys151 | 8 | $2: 4$ |
| Ser196 | Ala199 | 4 |  | Ile204 | Ser207 | 4 | $2: 4$ |

Table: -1.8;7 strands

| Start | End | Sheet |  | No. resid |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gln78 | Asp80 | A |  |  |
| Glu98 | Glu102 |  |  |  |
| Leu107 | Pro109 |  |  |  |
| Ser132 | Gly139 |  | A |  |
| Pro144 | Lys151 |  | B | 8 |
| Ser196 | Ala199 |  | B | 8 |
| Ile204 | Ser207 |  | B | 8 |

Table: -1.9; 6 helices

| Start | End | Type |  | No. <br> resid |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ala9 |  | Glu40 | H | 32 |
| Asp44 |  | Ala68 | H | 25 |
| Thr81 |  | Arg93 | H | 13 |
| Lys110 |  | Ala126 | H | 17 |
| Pro159 | His165 | H | 7 |  |
| Val179 |  | Ala191 | H |  |

Table: -2.0; $\mathbf{1 7}$ helix-helix interactions

|  | Helix |  | Interaction |  | No. interacting residues |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Helices |  | types |  |  | type | Helix 1 | Helix 2 |  |  |
| A1 | A2 | H | H |  | C | N | 12 | 12 |  |
| A1 | A6 |  | H | H |  | I | I | 4 | 5 |
| A1 | B1 | H | H |  | n | n | 11 | 11 |  |
| A1 | B2 |  | H | H |  | I | I | 10 | 11 |
| A1 | B4 | H | H |  | I | I | 2 | 2 |  |
| A1 | B6 |  | H | H |  | I | I | 1 | 4 |
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| A2 | A3 | H | H | I | I | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A2 | A4 | H | H | C | N | 3 | 4 |
| A2 | A6 | H | H | C | C | 1 | 1 |
| A2 | B1 | H | H | I | I | 8 | 8 |
| A2 | B2 | H | H | I | I | 2 | 2 |
| A3 | A4 | H | H | N | I | 6 | 5 |
| A4 | A5 | H | H | C | N | 1 | 1 |
| A4 | A6 | H | H | I | I | 8 | 8 |
| A4 | B1 | H | H | I | I | 3 | 3 |
| A5 | A6 | H | H | N | N | 4 | 4 |
| A6 | B1 | H | H | I | I | 4 | 1 |

Table: -2.1; 9 beta turns

| Turn | Sequence | turn |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gly41-Asp44 | GGAD | IV | H-bond |
| Ile127-Gly130 | IPRG | I | Yes |
| Ser140-Asp143 | SDTD | I |  |
| Asp141-Pro144 | DTDP | IV |  |
| Gly152-Leu155 | GRML | VIII |  |
| Pro170-Pro173 | PEEP | VIII |  |
| Asp175-Ser178 | DAHS | IV |  |
| Ala176-Val179 | AHSV | I |  |
| Thr200-Asp203 | TAED | I |  |

## Protein-protein interface:

## Chain A Chain B



Fig: -2.4


A schematic outline demonstrating the quantities of interactions across one of the interfaces, to be specific the $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}$ interface, and the quantities of residues included. Schematic chart of interactions between protein chains. these interacting chains are joined by shaded lines, each addressing an alternate kind of association, according to the key above. The region of each circle is relative to the surface zone of the comparing protein chain. The degree of the interface region on each chain is addressed by the dark wedge whose size connotes the interface surface region. Insights for this interface are given beneath.
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Table: -2.2; Interface statistics

| Chain | No. of <br> interface <br> residues | Interface <br> area $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | No. of <br> salt <br> bridges | No. <br> disulphide <br> bonds | No. <br> hydrogen <br> bonds | No. <br> non-bonded <br> contacts |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 29 | 1519 | 1 |  | 8 | 83 |
| B | 31 | 1476 | 1 |  |  | 83 |

## Chain A Chain B



Fig: -2.5; Residue interactions across interface; Coloured by residue type

| Salt |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bridges | Disulphide <br> bonds | Hydrogen <br> bonds |\(\left\|\left\|\left\|\left\|\| \begin{array}{l}Non-bonded <br>

contacts\end{array}\right.\right.\right.\right.\)

Fig: -2.5;Residue interactions aacross interface; Coloured by residue type

Detail of the individual residue-residue interactions across this interface. The colour of the interactions is as above.

The number of H -bond lines between any two residues indicates the number of potential hydrogen bonds between them. For non-bonded contacts, which can be plentiful, the width of the striped line is proportional to the number of atomic contacts.

## Residue colours:

Positive ( $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{R}$ ); negative ( $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}$ );
S,T,N,Q = neutral;
A, V,L,I,M = aliphatic;
$\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{W}=$ aromatic;
P, G = Pro\&Gly;


Match: assigning $658 \times 415$ pairwise scores.
MatchAlign: aligning residues ( 658 vs 415)...
MatchAlign: score 2071.500
ExecutiveAlign: 415 atoms aligned.
ExecutiveRMS: 12 atoms rejected during cycle 1 ( $\mathrm{RMS}=1.40$ ).
ExecutiveRMS: 18 atoms rejected during cycle 2 ( $\mathrm{RMS}=0.85$ ).
ExecutiveRMS: 10 atoms rejected during cycle 3 (RMS=0.73).
ExecutiveRMS: 5 atoms rejected during cycle 4
ExecutiveRMS: 6 atoms rejected during cycle 5 (RMS=0.67).
Executive: RMS $=0.651$ ( 364 to 364 atoms)


Fig: -2.6. Alignment of 4qpk with 4qpj
7) Finding Active Site.


Fig: -2.7; (A) and (C) shows the active site of 4qpk; (B) and (D) shows active site of 4qpj
8) Results from Docking

Table 2.3: Docking results of ligands in terms of binding affinity (kcal/mol):

| Sr. No | Drugs | Affinity (kcal/mol) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 4QPJ | 4QPK |
| $\mathbf{1 .}$ | Doxycycline | -8.3 | -8.7 |
| $\mathbf{2 .}$ | Gentamicin | -6.5 | -7.8 |

1. Doxycycline with 4QPJ


ILE
$\mathrm{A}: 79$

Interactions

Fig: - 2.8; 2D representation of Doxycycline in active site of 4QPJ.


Fig: -2.9; 3D representation of Doxycycline in active site of 4QPJ


Fig: -3.0; Visualization of docking results showing binding of doxycycline inside the pocket of 4QPJ with ligand as yellow colour sticks

## 2. Doxycycline with 4QPK



## Interactions



Fig: -3.1; 2D representation of Doxycycline in active site of 4QPK


Fig: -3.2; 3D representation of Doxycycline in active site of 4QPK


Fig: -3.3; Visualization of docking results showing binding of doxycycline inside the pocket of 4QPK with ligand as red colour sticks

## 3. Gentamicin with 4QPJ



Interactions
$\square$ Conventional Hydrogen Bond
Fig: -3.4; 2D representation of Gentamicin in active site of 4QPJ


Fig: -3.5; 3D representation of Gentamicin in active site of 4QPJ


Fig: -3.6; Visualization of docking results showing binding of Gentamicin inside the pocket of 4 QPJ with ligand as red colour sticks
4. Gentamicin with 4QPK


## Interactions

Fig: -3.7; 2D representation of Gentamicin in active site of 4QPK


Fig: -3.7; 3D representation of Gentamicin in active site of 4QPK
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Fig: -3.8; Visualization of docking results showing binding of Gentamicin inside the pocket of 4QPK with ligand as red colour sticks

## IV. CONCLUSION

Insilco analysis of B. abortus strain 2308 protein has been carried out. We have run several tool to understand the viral protein of B.abortus. After running the local alignment search by using Blastp, against pdb database. We have discovered 3 hits. Among those 3 hits 4qpk shows maximum identity of $99.52 \%$, query cover $100 \%$ and minimum e value of $5 \mathrm{e}-153$. The same query sequence was put into the secondary structure prediction analysis by using GOR. We have listed above the concentration of alpha helis and beta plated sheets present in the query sequence. Later on a homology modelling of the same query sequence has been done by using Swissmodel where we have discovered 48 templates and 5 model. Among that 5 model the 4 qpk shows the maximum possible required identity. Later on we have selected the model from the Swiss- model(4qpk) and analysis it's over the PDBsum protein analysis server. The protein 4qpk have been validated by using Ramachandran plot analysis graph, wiring diagram and topology diagram. Moreover, it has also given use a brief tabulation summary of the protein's secondary structure. Furthermore, we have also discover the protein-protein interface between chain A and Chain B of the modelled protein 4qpk. Later on, we have performed the alignment between 4qpk(modelled protein1) and 4qpj(Blastp seleted hit) by using Pymole. There we have find the RMS value between the two structure is 0.651 ( 364 to 364 atoms). We
have also marked the active site of the two structure whose picture has been presented above. Further on we have performed drug docking of the two protein. Here, we have found that the binding energy result obtained from the docking of 4 QPJ and 4 QPK with ligands Doxycycline and gentamycin was found to be -8.3, -8.7, -$6.5,-7.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ respectively. the binding energy from the docking of 4 QPJ and 4 QPK with ligands of doxycycline was $-8.3,-8.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ whereas gentamycin was $-6.5,-7.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ respectively. y. The docking results suggested drug molecules of gentamycin had a greater capability to inhibit Brucellosis abortus strain 2308 then doxycycline which show prominent binding interaction.
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